4/12/76

Dear Paul,

By itself the carbon enclosed will mean nothing to you.

It is to a source who to now has been incredibly dependable and on the CIA's use of mind-bending drugs. He appears to have turned to me after reading AP or UPI wire copy about me. We have been in touch for months. As a result I have some 600 pages of what I think could make a movie. Some I got through regular channels, some under the table. I'll be advancing what I have through friends with whom I work one of whom is mentioned in this letter. He spoke to Mike by phone from here last week.

I can believe that moneyed people whose sources of money includes hard stuff might not oppose a treatment of the general subject that has the government engaged in the same business.

In the event you can see in it what I do I'll add just a little more. Part of these pages deal witha case you've heard about, a man who was killed by a CIA LSD experiment. It is pretty wild. What is not known is that he was CIA and there is a reasonable ~~xxxxxxx~~ suspicion that he was assasinated. These records show that CIA had a Special Operations Office inside Army bacteriological warfare, not yet mentioned publicly in any news story of which I know. There is a presumption he was working on what couldbe used in assassinations. He was a microbiologist. The field was the air-borne. I first met his oldest child 15 years ago.

The ~~xx~~ only other person who sees in this what I do has not yet examined the records I've obtained. Some are on the way to him. Independently he came to believe that this man, Olson, was assassinated. He knows and has told me of what I've found inferential confirmation only, that there was a revolt inside CIA by those whose minimum condition for silence was taking care of the family, a wife who reportedly became an alcoholic and three kids she did a first-rate job of raising on less by far than she could have been given. Without this unprecedented protest she'd not have gotten even the minimal accidental-death stipend.

You are aware that because of the nuts and self-seekers I switched my concentration to King and that I've been giving you indications of increasing interest where it can count that does not receive wide attention.

Today I received an AP story that was not in any of the papers I receive or are generally covered for me by others. It was from a Texas paper. Senator Church drew a fine distinction between the JFK and King assasdinations. With JFK he had questions but with King he recommended a special prosecutor. Naturally this was not news fit to print by the Times or in Washington.

A week ago the House Rules Committee met on assassination-investigation resolutions. It defeated one on JFK 7-4. On King, however, the defeat was by a tie, 7-7. This is quite encouraging because I did not make any effort, not knowing that the attempt would be made until too late.

Clarence Kelley was on a Public TV show a week ago. The panel that questioned him included a Newsday reporter with whom I work. Kelley said that more might come out about the King case because of an unspecified FOIA suit. I know of none besides my own. This reporter friend agreed with this. He also told me after the taping what in the airing I also perceived, that Kelley was quite shook up.

As best I can I'm proceeding with that book. The retyping of the rough draft has proceeded to where the next chapter is 7 and I've read almost all of it today. I had an addition that I'll not now read because I am a little tired. I'll do it in the morning instead. The friend who is doing the retyping will be here the next day. She is now in the chapter before it.

Justice is now quite late in delivering what it promised on this in court 3/26. With Lesar away this week I'm cooling it to make a better court record. We have them climbing walls with out direct-confrontation approach. They've asked another 2 weeks to respond to my last affidavit/charges. Added to the more than 2 they had. Good sign. Best,